

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,269	01/22/2001	Takashi Sako	AA335/VB 5067	
27752	7590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	TER & GAMBLE COMP.	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
	TUAL PROPERTY DIVISION ILL BUSINESS CENTER - 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1616	
CINCINNAT	ГІ, ОН 45224	DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/744,26	9	SAKO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Edward J.	Webman	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period fo	• •		O EVELET A MONTH	C) OD TUIDTY (20) DAVE				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even h. eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be time Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONED	l. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 June 2006</u> .							
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
*	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
,—	•	e Laminer. 140	te the attached Office	Action of format 10 102.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	-		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/744,269

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hitchen (US 6,106,816) in view of Karlen et al (6,804,545), Rath et al (5,993,729) and Reng et al (US 5,403,508).

Hitchen teaches shampoo compositions comprising copolymers of carboxylic acid such as Carbopol-1342 (abstract, column 3 lines 62-63), an aqueous carrier, namely water (abstract), visible particles, namely titanium coated mica (abstract), viscosity modifiers such as thickeners (column 5 line 52) and a silicon compound (abstract). Cationic conditioning agents are specified (column 4 line 35 et seq.).

Pearlising agents such as ethylene glycol distearate are specified (column 5 lines 27-34). However, Hitchen doesn't teach an amphoteric conditioning polymer, a UV absorber, an optical brightener, an herbal extract, or polyethylene glycol with a molecular weight up to 1000.

Karlen et al teach hair cleansing compositions comprising copolymers of carboxylic acid such as Carbopol-1342 (column 5 line 62) and an amphoteric conditioning polymer such as Merquat Plus 3300 (column 7 line 55). Aqueous carriers, namely water (column 8 line 58) and a silicon compound (column 6 lines 11-13) are also disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,269

Art Unit: 1616

Rath et al teach shampoo and conditioner compositions comprising optical brighteners such as a shine enhancer, herbal extracts and UV absorbers (column 2 lines 24-28, example 14).

Reng et al teach pearlescent dispersions comprising fatty acid glycol esters (abstact). An excellent pearlescent effect is disclosed (column 1 line 49). Low molecular polyhydric alcohols, in particular polyethylene glycols having molecular weights between 200 and 800 are specified (column 2 lines 52-66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add Merquat 3300 to the composition of Hitchen to achieve the beneficial effect of an amphoteric conditioner in view of Karlen et al and to add a pearlescent dispersion comprising fatty acid glycol esters and polyethylene glycols having a molecular weight between 200 and 800 to achieve the beneficial effect of an excellent pearlescent effect in view of Reng et al. As to the other claimed "further comprising" ingredients, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to further include such compounds in the composition of Hitchen to achieve the extra beneficial effect of these additives in view of Rath et al.

As to the limitation of "for leave-on use", it is merely and intended use not considered a patentable limitation during the prosecution of composition claims before the USPTO.

The declaration of Takashi Sako, filed 6/8/06 purporting to show unexpected results has been considered but is not deemed to overcome the rejection. First, is unclear as to whether the results shown were in possession of the inventors at the time of filing. Secondly, the results are not commensurate in scope with the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,269 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

Applicants demonstrate unexpected results for only polyethylene glycol 200 at 2% but claim a molecular weight up to 1000 and any percentage.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 10 "distinctively detected" is vague; it is subjective. "comprised in the composition" is indefinite; juxtaposition of "comprised" and "in the composition" appears to be grammatically incorrect, a direct object rather than a prepositional phrase is expected.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Richter, can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).